

# Homology rigidity of Grassmannians

Dedicated to Professor Wenjun Wu on his 90th birthday

Fang Li

Department of Mathematics, Jilin University

Haibao Duan\*

Institute of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

## Abstract

Applying the theory of Gröbner basis to the Schubert presentation for the cohomology of Grassmannians [DZ<sub>1</sub>], we extend the homology rigidity results known for the classical Grassmannians to the exceptional cases.

*2000 Mathematics subject classification: 55S37*

*Key words and phrases:* Grassmannians; cohomology;

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $L(G)$ , exponential map  $\exp : L(G) \rightarrow G$ , a maximal torus  $T$ , and a set  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subset L(T)$  of *fundamental dominant weights* of  $G$  (cf. [Hu]). For a weight  $\omega \in \Omega$  let  $H$  be the centralizer of the 1-parameter subgroup  $\{\exp(t\omega) \in G \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . The homogeneous  $G/H$  is a *flag variety*, called *the Grassmannian of  $G$  corresponding to  $\omega$* .

If  $G$  is simple with rank  $n$ , we assume that the set  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is so ordered as the root-vertices in the Dynkin diagram of  $G$  pictured in [Hu, p.58]. With this convention we specify, in the table below, eight Grassmannians  $X = G/H$  associated to the exceptional Lie groups.

| $G$      | $F_4$           | $F_4$           | $E_6$           | $E_6$           | $E_7$           | $E_7$           | $E_7$           | $E_8$           |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $\omega$ | $\omega_1$      | $\omega_4$      | $\omega_2$      | $\omega_6$      | $\omega_1$      | $\omega_7$      | $\omega_2$      | $\omega_8$      |
| $H$      | $C_3 \cdot S^1$ | $B_3 \cdot S^1$ | $A_6 \cdot S^1$ | $D_5 \cdot S^1$ | $D_6 \cdot S^1$ | $E_6 \cdot S^1$ | $A_7 \cdot S^1$ | $E_7 \cdot S^1$ |

For a topological space  $X$  let  $[X, X]$  be the set of homotopy classes of self-maps of  $X$ , and let  $End(H^*(X))$  be the set of endomorphisms of the integral cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$ . It is known since 1970's that if  $X$  is a flag variety, the correspondence

$$[X, X] \rightarrow End(H^*(X))$$

---

\*Supported by NSFC

assigning a map  $f$  with its induced endomorphism  $f^*$  is “nearly faithful” in the sense that it has finite kernel and finite cokernel with respect to the obvious monoid structure on both sets (cf. [GH]). Therefore, the problem of finding  $End(H^*(X))$  for a flag manifold  $X$  is a crucial step toward understanding all self-maps of  $X$ .

In this paper, we determine  $End(H^*(X))$  for the eight Grassmannians tabulated above. Before stating our result, it is worthwhile to mention two earlier results on this topic.

It is known that, if  $X$  is a Grassmannian, then

(1.1)  $H^r(X)$  is torsion free and vanishes for odd  $r$ ;

(1.2)  $H^2(X) = \mathbb{Z}$  is generated by the Kaehlerian class  $\alpha_X$  of  $X$ .

We note that, in view of (1.2), any endomorphism of  $H^*(X)$  must map  $\alpha_X$  to a multiple of itself.

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} A^{2r}$  be a graded ring which vanishes in odd degrees (as being indicated), and let  $p$  be an integer. The element  $\psi^p \in End(A)$  defined by

$$\psi^p(x) = p^r x, x \in A^{2r}$$

is called the **Adams operator** on  $A$  with order  $p$ .

**Theorem 1 (Hoffmann [H, 1984]).** *Let  $X$  be the Grassmannian  $G_{n,k}$  of  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and let  $h \in End(H^*(X))$  with  $h(\alpha_X) = p\alpha_X$ ,  $p \neq 0$ . Then*

- i) if  $n \neq 2k$ ,  $h = \psi^p$ ;
- ii) if  $n = 2k$ , there is the additional possibility  $h = \psi^p \circ \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the involution on  $G_{2k,k}$  that sends a  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces  $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  to its othogonal complement  $L^\perp$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2 (Duan, [D, 2003]).** *Let  $X$  be the Grassmannian  $\mathbb{C}S_n$  of complex structures on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and let  $h \in End(H^*(X))$  with  $h(\alpha_X) = p\alpha_X$ ,  $p \neq 0$ . Then  $h = \psi^p$ .  $\square$*

Hoffmann conjectured that Theorem 1 holds for the case  $p = 0$ . In comparison it was shown in [D] that Theorem 2 is not true for  $p = 0$ .

Turning to the exceptional Grassmannians under consideration, the case  $X = E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1$  will be of particular interest. Fix a maximal torus  $T$  in  $E_6$ . Then

- a) the Dynkind diagram of  $E_6$  has the symmetry  $\varphi$  given by reflection in the edge joining the  $2^{nd}$  and  $4^{th}$  vertices [Hu, p.58], hence determines an automorphism  $\varphi^*$  on  $H^*(E_6/T)$  by [P];
- b) the induced ring map  $p^* : H^*(E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1) \rightarrow H^*(E_6/T)$  of the fibration  $p : E_6/T \rightarrow E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1$  (corresponding to the inclusion  $T \subset A_6 \cdot S^1$ ) is injective, hence identify  $H^*(E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1)$  as a subring of  $H^*(E_6/T)$ .

The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be one of the Grassmannians specified in the Table, and let  $h \in \text{End}(H^*(X))$  with  $h(\alpha_X) = p\alpha_X$ . Then*

- i) *if  $X \neq E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1$ ,  $h = \psi^p$ ;*
- ii) *if  $X = E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1$ , there is the additional possibility  $h = \psi^p \circ \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the restriction of  $\varphi^* \in \text{Aut}(H^*(E_6/T))$  to the subring  $H^*(E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1)$ .*

By establishing Theorem 3, we wish to demonstrate how the theory of Gröbner basis is used in extending the striking rigidity property to Grassmannians associated to the exceptional Lie groups.

The authors would like to thank Xu-an Zhao for clarifying the additional case ii) in Theorem 3, which first occurs to us from the calculation in Case 3 of §4.

## 2 The ring $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ for a Grassmannian $X$

If  $X$  is a Grassmannian, the inclusion  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  of coefficients induces an injective ring map  $H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  by (2.1). Therefore, it suffices to establish the Theorem for cohomology with rational coefficients (instead of integers).

In the classical approaches to  $\text{End}(H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}))$  for  $X = G_{n,k}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}S_n$  (i.e. Theorems 1 and 2), the authors based their calculation on the existing presentations of  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  in the form of a quotient of a free polynomial ring

$$(2.1) \quad H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n] / \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle,$$

where  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ , and where  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$  is the ideal generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ . In [DZ<sub>1</sub>], a unified method computing the rings  $H^*(X)$  for all Grassmannians  $X$  has been developed. In particular, for those Grassmannians  $X$  concerned by the Theorem, presentations of  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  in the form of (2.1) can be deduced directly from [DZ<sub>1</sub>, Theorems 1–7] and [DZ<sub>2</sub>; (2.9)]. We present these results in (2.2)–(2.9) below, where the subscripts for the generators  $y_i$ 's and relations  $g_j$ 's are adopted to indicate their degrees in the fashion

$$\deg y_i = 2i, \deg g_j = 2j;$$

and where  $y_1$  is in the place of the Kaehlerian class  $\alpha_X$  on  $X$  (see (1.2)).

$$(2.2) \quad H^*(F_4/C_3 \cdot S^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_4] / \langle g_8, g_{12} \rangle, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_8 &= 24y_4^2 + y_1^8 - 12y_1^4y_4; \\ g_{12} &= y_1^{12} - 24y_1^8y_4 + 144y_1^4y_4^2 - 64y_4^3. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3) \quad H^*(F_4/B_3 \cdot S^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_4] / \langle g_8, g_{12} \rangle, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_8 &= 3y_4^2 - y_1^8; \\ g_{12} &= 26y_4^3 - 5y_1^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad H^*(E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_3, y_4] / \langle g_8, g_9, g_{12} \rangle, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_8 &= 6y_4^2 - 12y_1y_3y_4 + 9y_1^2y_3^2 + 3y_1^4y_4 - 6y_1^5y_3 + y_1^8; \\ g_9 &= -2y_3^3 + 6y_3y_1^2y_4 - 3y_1^3y_3^2 + 4y_3y_1^6 - 3y_1^5y_4 - y_1^9; \\ g_{12} &= 4y_4^3 - y_3^4 + 6y_3^2y_1^2y_4 - 4y_3^3y_1^3 - 2y_3^2y_1^6 - 9y_1^4y_4^2 + 12y_1^5y_4y_3 \\ &\quad - 6y_1^8y_4 + 4y_1^9y_3 - y_1^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5) \quad H^*(E_6/D_5 \cdot S^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_4] / \langle g_9, g_{12} \rangle, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_9 &= 2y_1^9 + 3y_1y_4^2 - 6y_1^5y_4; \\ g_{12} &= y_4^3 - 6y_1^4y_4^2 + y_1^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6) \quad H^*(E_7/E_6 \cdot S^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_5, y_9] / \langle g_{10}, g_{14}, g_{18} \rangle, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{10} &= y_5^2 - 2y_1y_9; \\ g_{14} &= 2y_5y_9 - 9y_1^4y_5^2 + 6y_1^9y_5 - y_1^{14}; \\ g_{18} &= y_9^2 + 10y_1^3y_5^3 - 9y_1^8y_5^2 + 2y_1^{13}y_5. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7) \quad H^*(E_7/D_6 \cdot S^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_4, y_6] / \langle g_{12}, g_{14}, g_{18} \rangle, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{12} &= 3y_6^2 - y_4^3 - 3y_1^4y_4^2 - 2y_1^6y_6 + 2y_1^8y_4; \\ g_{14} &= 3y_4^2y_6 + 3y_1^2y_6^2 + 6y_1^2y_4^3 + 6y_1^4y_4y_6 - 3y_1^6y_4^2 - 4y_1^8y_6 - 2y_1^{10}y_4 + y_1^{14}; \\ g_{18} &= 45y_4^4y_1^2 + 120y_4^2y_1^4y_6 + 60y_4^3y_1^6 - 52y_4^2y_1^{10} - 16y_1^6y_6^2 + 80y_1^8y_6y_4 \\ &\quad - 96y_1^{12}y_6 - 48y_1^{14}y_4 + 28y_1^{18} + 116y_6^3 + 180y_1^2y_4y_6^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.8) \quad H^*(E_8/E_7 \cdot S^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_6, y_{10}] / \langle g_{20}, g_{24}, g_{30} \rangle, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{20} &= 3y_{10}^2 + 10y_1^2y_6^3 + 18y_1^4y_6y_{10} - 12y_1^{10}y_{10} - 18y_1^8y_6^2 + 9y_1^{14}y_6 - y_1^{20}; \\ g_{24} &= 5y_6^4 + 30y_1^2y_6^2y_{10} + 15y_1^4y_{10}^2 - 15y_1^{14}y_{10} - 15y_1^{12}y_6^2 + 10y_1^{18}y_6 - y_1^{24}; \\ g_{30} &= 12y_1^4y_6y_{10}^2 + 24y_1^{14}y_6y_{10} + 56y_1^{24}y_6 - 36y_1^{10}y_{10}^2 - 32y_{10}^3 + 4y_6^5 - 9y_1^{30} \\ &\quad - 48y_1^{20}y_{10} + 60y_1^6y_6^4 - 64y_1^{18}y_6^2 + 96y_1^8y_{10}y_6^2 - 44y_1^{12}y_6^3. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.9) \quad H^*(E_7/A_7 \cdot S^1, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_7] / \langle g_8, g_{10}, g_{12}, g_{14}, g_{18} \rangle, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_8 &= 6y_4^2 - 4y_3y_5 + 4y_1y_7 - 12y_1y_3y_4 + 9y_1^2y_3^2 + 2y_1^3y_5 + 3y_1^4y_4 - 6y_1^5y_3 + y_1^8; \\ g_{10} &= y_5^2 - 2y_3y_7 + y_1^3y_7; \\ g_{12} &= -4y_4^3 - 2y_3^2y_1^6 + 9y_1^4y_4^2 + 6y_1^8y_4 - 4y_1^9y_3 - 24y_1y_4y_7 - 12y_1^5y_4y_3 + 8y_5y_7 \\ &\quad + 4y_3y_1y_4^2 - 18y_3^2y_1^2y_4 + 12y_3y_1^2y_7 - 3y_3^4 + y_1^{12} + 16y_3^3y_1^3; \\ g_{14} &= y_7^2 + 3y_4y_5^2 + y_5y_3^3 + 3y_5y_3y_1^2y_4 - 3y_5y_1^3y_3^2 + y_5y_3y_1^6 - y_5y_1y_4^2 - 3y_5y_1^2y_7; \\ g_{18} &= -8y_4^3y_5y_1 - 4y_5y_7y_3^2 - 2y_3y_1^7y_4^2 + y_3^6 - 6y_3y_1^8y_7 + 18y_1^5y_3^2y_7 + 15y_3^2y_1^4y_4^2 \\ &\quad - 6y_3y_1^3y_4^3 + 8y_4y_5y_3y_1^6 - 12y_1y_5^2y_7 + y_1^2y_4^4 + 12y_4^2y_5^2 + y_3^2y_1^{12} - 6y_1^9y_3^3 \\ &\quad + 11y_3^4y_1^6 + 8y_4y_5y_3^3 + 24y_4^2y_5y_3y_1^2 + 8y_3y_5^3 - 18y_3^3y_1^5y_4 - 2y_3^3y_1y_4^2 \\ &\quad + 4y_5y_7y_1^6 + 6y_3^4y_1^2y_4 - 6y_3^5y_1^3 + 6y_1^3y_4^2y_7 - 18y_3y_1^4y_4y_7 - 6y_3^3y_1^2y_7 \\ &\quad + 6y_3^2y_1^8y_4 - 4y_4y_7^2 + 9y_1^4y_7^2 - 24y_4y_5y_1^3y_3^2 - 8y_5y_7y_1^3y_3 - 12y_4y_5y_1^2y_7. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** In (2.2)–(2.9), every generator  $y_i$  is in fact a Schubert class of degree  $i$  on the corresponding  $X$  [DZ<sub>1</sub>].

### 3 The algorithm

As one can see from (2.2)–(2.9) that the rings  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  vary considerably with respect to the Grassmannians  $X$ . However, with their common feature (2.1) in mind we may create some notations useful in a unified approach to  $\text{End}(H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}))$ .

For a polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  graded by  $\deg y_i > 0$ , its subspace of the homogeneous elements of degree  $r$  has a canonical basis

$$(3.1) \quad B^r = \{y^\alpha = y_1^{b_1} \cdots y_n^{b_n} \mid \alpha = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \deg y^\alpha = r\},$$

called the *monomial basis* of  $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  in degree  $r$ , where  $\mathbb{N}^n$  is the set of all  $n$ -tuples  $\alpha = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  of non-negative integers, considered as an ordered set with respect to the lexicographical order on  $\mathbb{N}^n$ .

For a subset  $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Gröbner basis of the ideal  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$  [E]. The package "Gbasis" in MAPLE [E] has the function

*In:* A subset  $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ ;

*Out:* the Gröbner basis  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ ,

whose importance is shown in the next result.

**Lemma 1.** Each polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  determines a unique element  $h \in \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ , called *the residue of  $f$  module  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$* , that satisfies

- i)  $f \equiv h \pmod{\mathcal{G}}$ ;
- ii)  $f \in \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$  if and only if  $h = 0$ .

Moreover, the package "Normal" in MAPLE [E] has the function to implement  $h$  from  $f$ .  $\square$

We may now clarify the algorithm by which Theorem 3 is established. An endomorphism  $f$  of the ring (2.1) can be regarded as an endomorphism of the free polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  which preserves the ideal  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$ . Based on this observation we can assume that  $f$  is given by

$$(3.2) \quad f(y_i) = \sum_{y^\alpha \in B^{s_i}} c_\alpha^i \cdot y^\alpha, \quad s_i = \deg y_i, \quad c_\alpha^i \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

that are subject to the restrictions

$$(3.3) \quad f(g_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)) = g_j(f(y_1), \dots, f(y_n)) \in \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where the equality in (3.3) comes from the fact that  $f$  is a ring map. Assume that the residue of  $g_j(f(y_1), \dots, f(y_n))$  module  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$  is

$$(3.4) \quad h_j = \sum_{y^\alpha \in B^{t_j}} b_\alpha^j \cdot y^\alpha, \quad t_j = \deg g_j,$$

where  $b_\alpha^j$  are certain polynomials in the  $c_\alpha^i$ 's in (3.2). Then (3.3) is equivalent to the system

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{S} : \{b_\alpha^j = 0 \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, y^\alpha \in B^{t_j}\}$$

by the Lemma. In other word, (3.5) constitutes all the constraints that the  $c_\alpha^i$ 's in (3.2) must satisfy. Finally, we remark that the package "Solve" or "Gsolve" in MAPLE [E] has the function to produce all the solutions to the system (3.5).

## 4 Proof of the Theorem

**Case 1.**  $X = F_4/C_3 \cdot S^1$  (see (2.2)). In accordance with the order  $y_1 > y_4$ , the Gröbner basis of the ideal  $\langle g_8, g_{12} \rangle$  is:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{24y_4^2 + y_1^8 - 12y_1^4y_4, 3y_1^4y_4^2 - 28y_4^3, y_4^4\}.$$

Assume that  $f \in \text{End}(H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}))$  is given by

$$f(y_1) = ky_1, \quad f(y_4) = ay_1^4 + by_4, \quad k, a, b \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then the residues of  $f(g_i)$ ,  $i = 8, 12$ , module  $\langle g_8, g_{12} \rangle$  are (Lemma 1)

$$\begin{aligned} h_8 &= 12(24a^2 + k^8 - 12k^4a + 4ba - k^4b)y_1^4y_4 \\ &\quad + 12(-48a^2 + 24k^4a - 2k^8 + 2b^2)y_4^2 \\ h_{12} &= -(-1344k^4b^2 + 1792b^2a - 832k^{12} + 53248a^3 - 25344k^4ba \\ &\quad + 64b^3 - 119808k^4a^2 + 19968k^8a + 2112k^8b + 16896ba^2)y_4^3. \end{aligned}$$

Applying *Solve* to the corresponding system (3.5) yields that

$$a = 0, b = k^4, k = k.$$

**Case 2.**  $X = F_4/B_3 \cdot S^1$  (see (2.3)). In accordance with the order  $y_1 > y_4$ , the Gröbner basis of the ideal  $\langle g_8, g_{12} \rangle$  is:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{y_1^8 - 3y_4^2, 15y_4^2y_1^4 - 26y_4^3, y_4^4\}.$$

Assume that  $f \in \text{End}(H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}))$  is given by

$$f(y_1) = ky_1, \quad f(y_4) = ay_1^4 + by_4, \quad k, a, b \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then the residues of  $f(g_i)$ ,  $i = 8, 12$ , module  $\langle g_8, g_{12} \rangle$  are (Lemma 1)

$$\begin{aligned} h_8 &= 3(3a^2 - k^8 + b^2)y_4^2 + 6aby_1^4y_4; \\ h_{12} &= \frac{1}{5}(676a^3 - 130k^{12} + 676ab^2 + 1170a^2b + 130b^3)y_4^3 \end{aligned}$$

Applying *Solve* to the corresponding system (3.5) yields that

$$a = 0, b = k^4, k = k.$$

**Case 3.**  $X = E_6/A_6 \cdot S^1$  (see (2.4)). In accordance with the order  $y_1 > y_3 > y_4$ , the Gröbner basis of the ideal  $\langle g_8, g_9, g_{12} \rangle$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= \{6y_4^2 - 12y_1y_3y_4 + 9y_1^2y_3^2 + 3y_1^4y_4 - 6y_1^5y_3; \\ &\quad y_3y_1^6 - 3y_1^3y_3^2 + 3y_3y_1^2y_4 + y_3^3 - 3y_4^2y_1; \\ &\quad 3y_3^2y_1^5 - 8y_3^3y_1^2 - 3y_4^2y_1^3 + 12y_4y_3^2y_1 - 6y_4^2y_3; \\ &\quad 2y_3^4 - 30y_3^2y_1^2y_4 + 33y_4^2y_3y_1 - y_3^3y_1^3 - 22y_4^3 + 12y_1^5y_4y_3; \dots\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have omitted the elements in  $\mathcal{G}$  with degree  $> \max\{\deg g_i\}$  since they play no further role in the process. Assume that  $f \in \text{End}(H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}))$  is given by

$$f(y_1) = ky_1, \quad f(y_3) = a_1y_1^3 + a_2y_3, \quad f(y_4) = b_1y_1^4 + b_2y_1y_3 + b_3y_4,$$

where  $k, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then the residues of  $f(g_i)$ ,  $i = 8, 9$ , module  $\langle g_8, g_9, g_{12} \rangle$  are (Lemma 1)

$$\begin{aligned} h_8 = & 3(4b_2b_3 + 24b_1^2 + 36k^2a_1^2 - 48ka_1b_1 + 12k^4b_1 - 24k^5a_1 + 4k^8 \\ & - 4ka_2b_3)y_1y_3y_4 + 3(12b_1^2 + 2k^8 - 24ka_1b_1 + 18k^2a_1^2 + 6k^4b_1 \\ & - 12k^5a_1 + 4b_1b_2 + k^4b_2 - 2k^5a_2 - 4ka_1b_2 - 4ka_2b_1 + 6k^2a_1a_2)y_1^5y_3 \\ & + 3(3k^2a_2^2 - 18b_1^2 - 4ka_2b_2 - 9k^4b_1 - 27k^2a_1^2 + 2b_2^2 + 36ka_1b_1 - 3k^8 \\ & + 18k^5a_1)y_1^2y_3^2 + 3(12k^5a_1 - 2k^8 - 6k^4b_1 + 2b_3^2 - 12b_1^2 + 24ka_1b_1 \\ & - 18k^2a_1^2)y_4^2 + 3(k^4b_3 - k^8 + 6k^5a_1 - 3k^4b_1 - 4ka_1b_3 - 6b_1^2 + 4b_1b_3 \\ & + 12ka_1b_1 - 9k^2a_1^2)y_1^4y_4; \\ h_9 = & -18a_1^2a_2 + 12k^6a_2 + 72k^2a_1b_1 - 24a_1^3 - 12k^9 - 36k^3a_1^2 + 48k^6a_1 \\ & - 36k^5b_1 + 18k^2a_1b_2 + 18k^2a_2b_1 - 18k^3a_1a_2 - 9k^5b_2)y_4^2y_1 \\ & + (36k^6a_1 - 27k^5b_1 - 9k^9 - 27k^3a_1^2 - 18a_1^2a_2 + 12k^6a_2 - 9k^5b_2 \\ & - 6a_1a_2^2 + 18k^2a_1b_2 + 18k^2a_2b_1 - 18k^3a_1a_2 + 54k^2a_1b_1 - 18a_1^3 \\ & + 6k^2a_2b_2 - 3k^3a_2^2)y_1^3y_3^2 + (9k^5b_1 + 6a_1^3 + 3k^9 + 9k^3a_1^2 - 12k^6a_1 \\ & - 18k^2a_1b_1 + 6k^2a_1b_3 - 3k^5b_3)y_1^5y_4 + (-24k^6a_1 + 18k^5b_1 + 6a_1^2a_2 \\ & - 4k^6a_2 + 3k^5b_2 + 6k^9 - 36k^2a_1b_1 - 6k^2a_1b_2 - 6k^2a_2b_1 + 6k^3a_1a_2 \\ & + 12a_1^3 + 18k^3a_1^2 - 2a_2^3)y_3^3 + (6k^9 + 12a_1^3 + 18a_1^2a_2 - 18k^2a_1b_2 \\ & - 18k^2a_2b_1 + 18k^3a_1a_2 - 12k^6a_2 + 9k^5b_2 + 18k^3a_1^2 - 24k^6a_1 \\ & + 18k^5b_1 - 36k^2a_1b_1 + 6k^2a_2b_3)y_3y_1^2y_4. \end{aligned}$$

With  $k, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$  the corresponding system (3.5) has two solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_1 = k^3, a_2 = -k^3, b_1 = k^4, b_2 = -2k^4, b_3 = k^4\}, \\ \{a_1 = 0, a_2 = k^3, b_1 = 0, b_2 = 0, b_3 = k^4\}, \end{aligned}$$

and with respect to the first solution, the residue  $h_{12}$  of  $f(g_{12})$  is trivial. This establish the Theorem for the current situation.

**Case 4.**  $X = E_6/D_5 \cdot S^1$  (see (2.5)). In accordance with the order  $y_1 > y_4$ , the Gröbner basis of the ideal  $\langle g_9, g_{12} \rangle$  is:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{2y_1^9 + 3y_1y_4^2 - 6y_1^5y_4, \quad 6y_4y_1^8 - 15y_1^4y_4^2 + 2y_4^3, \quad 3y_4^2y_1^5 - 7y_4^3y_1, \\ y_4^3y_1^4 - 2y_4^4, \quad y_4^4y_1, \quad y_4^5\}.$$

Assume that  $f \in \text{End}(H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}))$  is given by

$$f(y_1) = ky_1, \quad f(y_4) = ay_1^4 + by_4, \quad k, a, b \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then the residues of  $f(g_i)$ ,  $i = 9, 12$ , module  $\langle g_9, g_{12} \rangle$  are (Lemma 1)

$$\begin{aligned} h_9 = & \frac{3}{2}(-2k^8 + 6k^4a - 3a^2 + 2b^2)ky_1y_4^2 + \frac{3}{2}(4k^8 - 12k^4a + 6a^2 \\ & - 4k^4b + 4ab)ky_1^5y_4; \\ h_{12} = & \frac{1}{2}(-2a^3 - 2k^{12} + 12k^4a^2 - 2a^2b + 2b^3 + 8k^4ab)y_4^3 + \frac{1}{2}(12a^3 \\ & + 12k^{12} - 60k^4ab + 6ab^2 - 12k^4b^2 - 72k^4a^2 + 15a^2b)y_1^4y_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying *Solve* to the corresponding system (3.5) yields that

$$a = 0, b = k^4, k = k.$$

**Case 5.**  $X = E_7/E_6 \cdot S^1$  (see (2.6)). In accordance with the order  $y_1 > y_4 > y_9$ , the Gröbner basis of the ideal  $\langle g_{10}, g_{14}, g_{18} \rangle$  is:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{-2y_5y_9 + 9y_1^4y_5^2 - 6y_1^9y_5 + y_1^{14}, y_9^2 + 10y_1^3y_5^3 - 9y_1^8y_5^2 + 2y_1^{13}y_5, \\ -y_5^2 + 2y_1y_9, \dots\},$$

where the elements in  $\mathcal{G}$  with degree  $> \max\{\deg g_i\}$  has been omitted because they play no role in the latter course. Assume that  $f \in \text{End}(H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}))$  is given by

$$f(y_1) = ky_1, f(y_5) = a_1y_1^5 + a_2y_5, f(y_9) = b_1y_1^9 + b_2y_1^4y_5 + b_3y_9,$$

where  $k, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then the residues of  $f(g_i)$ ,  $i = 10, 14, 18$ , module  $\langle g_{10}, g_{14}, g_{18} \rangle$  are (Lemma 1)

$$\begin{aligned} h_{10} &= (2a_2^2 - 2kb_3)y_1y_9 + (2a_1a_2 - 2kb_2)y_1^5y_5 + (a_1^2 - 2kb_1)y_1^{10}; \\ h_{14} &= 2(81k^4a_1^2 + 2a_2b_2 - 9k^4a_2^2 - 18a_1b_1 - 54k^9a_1 + 9k^{14} + a_1b_3)y_9y_1^5 \\ &\quad + 2(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + 3k^9a_2 + 6a_1b_1 - 27k^4a_1^2 + 18k^9a_1 - 9k^4a_1a_2 \\ &\quad - 3k^{14})y_1^9y_5 + 2(a_2b_3 - k^{14} + 2a_1b_1 - 9k^4a_1^2 + 6k^9a_1)y_5y_9; \\ h_{18} &= (2b_1b_3 + 270k^3a_1^2a_2 - 162k^8a_1a_2 + 72k^{13}a_1 + 18b_1b_2 + 18k^{13}a_2 \\ &\quad - 18k^8a_2^2 + 360k^3a_1^3 - 324k^8a_1^2 + 60k^3a_1a_2^2 + 2b_2^2 + 36b_1^2)y_9y_1^9 \\ &\quad + (522k^8a_1^2 - 580k^3a_1^3 + 2b_2b_3 - 300k^3a_1^2a_2 - 58b_1^2 - 116k^{13}a_1 \\ &\quad + 180k^8a_1a_2 - 20b_1b_2 - 20k^{13}a_2 + 20k^3a_2^2)y_9y_5y_1^4 + (b_3^2 - 15k^3a_1^2a_2 \\ &\quad + 9k^8a_1a_2 - 3b_1^2 - k^{13}a_2 - 30k^3a_1^3 + 27k^8a_1^2 - 6k^{13}a_1 - b_1b_2)y_9^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying *Solve* to the corresponding system (3.5) yields that

$$a_1 = b_1 = b_2 = 0, a_2 = k^5, b = k^9, k = k.$$

**Case 6.**  $X = E_7/D_6 \cdot S^1$ ,  $E_8/E_7 \cdot S^1$  or  $E_7/A_7 \cdot S^1$ . Procedure the same as the above establish Theorem 3 for these cases. Only the expressions of the corresponding  $h_i$ 's are very lengthy, and the package "Gsolve" in MAPLE appears more effective than the "Solve" when implementing the corresponding system (3.5). We omit the details and refer to the thesis [L] of the first author for thorough discussions on these cases.

## References

- [D] H. Duan, Self-maps of the Grassmannian of complex structures, *Compositio Math.*, 132 (2002), 159-175.
- [DZ<sub>1</sub>] H. Duan and Xuezhi Zhao, The Chow rings of generalized Grassmannians, arXiv: math.AG/0511332.
- [DZ<sub>2</sub>] H. Duan and Xuezhi Zhao, The integral cohomology of complete flag manifolds, arXiv: math.AT/0801.2444.



- [E] K. Ernic, *A Guide To Maple*, Springer Verlag, 1999.
- [GH] H. Glover and W. Homer, Self-maps of flag manifolds, Trans. AMS 267(1981), 423-434.
- [H<sub>1</sub>] M. Hoffman, Endomorphisms of the cohomology of complex Grassmannians, Trans, AMS 281 (1984), 745-740.
- [H<sub>2</sub>] M. Hoffman, On fixed point free maps of the complex flag manifold, Indiana Math. J., 33(1984), 249-255.
- [Hu] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduated Texts in Math. 9, Springer-Verlag New York, 1972.
- [L] F. Li, Endomorphisms of the cohomology ring of a generalized Grassmannian, Thesis, Jilin University.
- [P] S. Papadima, Rigidity properties of compact Lie groups modulo maximal tori, Math. Ann. 275(1987), 637-652.